arXiv:1510.05309v3 [math.RA] 4 Apr 2016

DIAGONAL-PRESERVING RING $*$-ISOMORPHISMS OF LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

JONATHAN H. BROWN, LISA ORLOFF CLARK, AND ASTRID AN HUEF

Abstract. The graph groupoids of directed graphs E and F are topologically isomorphic if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving ring $*$-isomorphism between the Leavitt path algebras of E and F.

1. Introduction

Brownlowe, Carlsen and Whittaker show that there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between two graph C^*-algebras $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ if and only if the corresponding graph groupoids are topologically isomorphic [6, Theorem 5.1]. In this paper, we prove the analogous theorem for Leavitt path algebras over an integral domain R. Diagonal-preserving isomorphisms of C^*-algebras of graphs and groupoids have recently been of interest in [16, 6, 5], and are starting to show up now in the theory of Leavitt path algebras [12, 8, 2, 9]. Indeed, we have revised a previous version [4] of this paper to compare our results to the ones just announced in [8].

It follows from [18, Theorem 4.2], applied to the graph groupoid, that the "diagonal subalgebra" D_E of $C^*(E)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra if and only if the graph groupoid is topologically principal (if and only if every cycle in E has an entry). In that case, the Weyl groupoid, constructed by Renault in [18] from the inclusion of D_E in $C^*(G)$, is isomorphic to the graph groupoid. In [6], Brownlowe, Carlsen and Whittaker extend Renault's construction so that it applies to the inclusion of D_E in $C^*(E)$ when the graph may have cycles without entries, and they call the resulting groupoid the "extended Weyl groupoid". They prove that the extended Weyl groupoid is always topologically isomorphic to the graph groupoid. Then the strategy of the proof of the main theorem in [6] is to show that if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between the graph C^*-algebras, then the corresponding extended Weyl groupoids are isomorphic, and then so are the corresponding graph groupoids.

Let $\pi : C^*(E) \to C^*(F)$ be a diagonal-preserving isomorphism of C^*-algebras. The diagonal D_E is isomorphic to the algebra $C_0(E^\infty)$ of continuous functions on the infinite-path space E^∞ which vanish at infinity. Since π preserves the diagonal, spectral theory gives a homeomorphism $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ between the infinite-path spaces such that

$$\pi(d) \circ \kappa = d \tag{1.1}$$

Date: 1 March 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S99 (46L05).

Key words and phrases. Leavitt path algebra, directed graph, groupoid, Weyl groupoid, Steinberg algebra, diagonal subalgebra, diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

This research was supported by a University of Otago Research Grant and by a University of Dayton Research Institute SEED grant. We thank Aidan Sims for pointing us to Stone Duality, and for an observation in §5 which made our results in §6 much cleaner.

for all $d \in C_0(E^\infty)$.

Now let $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ be a ring $*$-isomorphism between the Leavitt path algebras, and assume π preserves the algebraic diagonal subalgebra. The idempotents in each diagonal form a Boolean algebra, and π restricts to give an isomorphism of these Boolean algebras. We use Stone duality to show that this gives a concrete homeomorphism κ between the infinite path spaces such that (1.1) holds for characteristic functions in the algebraic diagonal. We then use an algebraic version of the extended Weyl groupoid to show that the corresponding graph groupoids are isomorphic. It then follows that for any commutative ring S with identity, the Leavitt path algebras $L_S(E)$ and $L_S(F)$ are $*$-isomorphic as S-algebras. It also follows that the graph algebras $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ are isomorphic as C^*-algebras.

Taking $R = \mathbb{C}$ gives some evidence that the "isomorphism conjecture for graph algebras" from [1, page 3752] may be true. The conjecture says: if $L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$ and $L_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ are isomorphic as rings, then $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ are isomorphic as C^*-algebras. Our Corollary 6.3 says that if there is a diagonal-preserving ring $*$-isomorphism of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$ onto $L_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$, then the C^*-algebras are isomorphic. Further, in Corollary 6.5 we combine our results with [8, Theorem 1] to see that $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(E)$ and $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(F)$ are $*$-isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving ring $*$-isomorphism of $L_R(E)$ onto $L_R(F)$.

We make two technical innovations. An $n \in C^*(E)$ is a normalizer of the diagonal if $n^*D_E n \subset D_E$ and $nD_E n^* \subset D_E$. Both the Weyl groupoid and its extension are defined using a partial action α of the set of normalizers on the infinite path space E^∞. This α is constructed using spectral theory in [13, §1] and in [18, §3]. Our first innovation is that we are able to define an analogous partial action, also called α, of the normalizers in $L_R(E)$ of the algebraic diagonal. The key result in the definition of our α is Proposition 3.3, and we show α is a partial action in Proposition 3.7. Interestingly, we have been unable to extend Proposition 3.3 from graph groupoids to more general groupoids, not even to those of a higher-rank graph.

The second innovation is the construction of our algebraic version of the extended Weyl groupoid. In extending Renault's construction, Brownlowe, Carlsen and Whittaker needed to deal with an isolated infinite path x, and they did so using the K-theory class of certain unitaries in a corner of $C^*(E)$ determined by x. We noticed that we could replace the K_1 class of the unitary by its degree as an element in the graded algebra $L_R(E)$. (See Definition 4.1 and Remark 4.2).

Given an ample Hausdorff groupoid, Clark, Farthing, Sims and Tomforde in [10], and independently Steinberg in [19], introduced an algebraic analogue of the groupoid C^*-algebra which is now called the Steinberg algebra. If the groupoid is the graph groupoid of a directed graph E, then the associated Steinberg algebra is isomorphic to $L_R(E)$. In our work it was helpful to use groupoid techniques and to view the elements of $L_R(E)$ as functions. For this reason, we view $L_R(E)$ as the Steinberg algebra of the graph groupoid throughout (see Theorem 2.2).

Related but disjoint to our work are the recent results of Ara, Bosa, Hazrat and Sims in [2]. Given a graded ample Hausdorff groupoid with a topologically principal 0-graded component, they show how to recover the groupoid from the graded-ring structure of the Steinberg algebra and a diagonal subalgebra. For graphs in which every cycle has an entry, they deduce that a diagonal-preserving ring isomorphism of Leavitt path algebras implies that the underlying graph groupoids are isomorphic.

We have been careful to make our paper accessible to those not so familiar with topological groupoids. In particular, we have been careful to supply the technical details when it comes to the construction of the algebraic version of the Weyl groupoid and results involving it.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Directed graphs and Leavitt path algebras.** A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of countable sets E^0 and E^1, and range and source maps $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$. We think of E^0 as the set of vertices and E^1 as the set of edges directed by r and s. A graph is *row-finite* if $|r^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ and it has no sources if $|r^{-1}(v)| > 0$. Throughout we assume that all graphs are row-finite and have no sources.

We use the convention that a path is a sequence of edges $\mu = \mu_1\mu_2\cdots$ such that $s(\mu_i) = r(\mu_{i+1})$. We denote the ith edge in a path μ by μ_i. We say a path μ is finite if the sequence is finite and denote its length by $|\mu|$. We denote the set of finite paths by $P(E)$ and the set of infinite paths by E^∞. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write E^n for the set of paths in $P(E)$ of length n, and vE^n to be the paths in E^n with range v. We consider the vertices E^0 to be paths of length 0. For $\mu \in P(E) \cup E^\infty$ and $0 < n < m < |\mu|$ we set

$$\mu(n,m) = \mu_{n+1}\cdots\mu_m \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^m(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu_{m+1}\cdots\mu_{|\mu|} & \text{if } \mu \in P(E) \\ \mu_{m+1}\cdots & \text{if } \mu \in E^\infty. \end{cases}$$

We extend the map r to $\mu \in P(E) \cup E^\infty$ by $r(\mu) = r(\mu_1)$; for $\mu \in P(E)$ we also extend s by $s(\mu) = s(\mu_{|\mu|})$. We say $\mu \in P(E)$ is a *cycle* if $r(\mu) = s(\mu)$ and $s(\mu_i) \neq r(\mu_j)$ for $1 < i, j < |\mu|$, $i \neq j$. We say an edge e is an *entrance* to a cycle μ if there exists i such that $r(e) = r(\mu_i)$ and $e \neq \mu_i$: note that e may equal μ_j for some $j \neq i$. We say μ is a cycle without entrance if it has no entrance.

Let $(E^1)^* := \{e^* : e \in E^1\}$ be a set of formal symbols called *ghost edges*. If $\mu \in P(E)$, then we write μ^* for $\mu^*_{|\mu|}\ldots\mu^*_2\mu^*_1$ and call it a *ghost path*. We extend s and r to the ghost paths by $r(\mu^*) = s(\mu)$ and $s(\mu^*) = r(\mu)$.

Definition 2.1. Let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources, let R be a commutative ring with 1 and let A be an R-algebra. A Leavitt E-family in A is a set $\{P_v, S_e, S_{e^*} : v \in E^0, e \in E^1\} \subset A$ where $\{P_v : v \in E^0\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents, and

(L1) $P_{r(e)}S_e = S_e = S_eP_{s(e)}$;
(L2) $P_{s(e)}S_{e^*} = S_{e^*} = S_{e^*}P_{r(e)}$;
(L3) $S_{e^*}S_f = \delta_{e,f}P_{s(e)}$;
(L4) for all $v \in E^0$ we have $P_v = \sum_{e\in vE^1} S_eS_{e^*}$.

For a path $\mu \in P(E)$ we set $S_\mu := S_{\mu_1}\ldots S_{\mu_{|\mu|}}$. The *Leavitt path algebra* $L_R(E)$ is the universal R-algebra generated by a universal Leavitt E-family $\{p_v, s_e, s_{e^*}\}$: that is, if A is an R-algebra and $\{P_v, S_e, S_{e^*}\}$ is a Leavitt E-family in A, then there exists a unique R-algebra homomorphism $\pi : L_R(E) \to A$ such that $\pi(p_v) = P_v$ and $\pi(s_e) = S_e$ [21, §2]. For the existence of such an R-algebra, see [3, Theorem 3.4]. It follows from (L3) that

$$L_R(E) = \operatorname{span}_R\{s_\mu s^*_\nu : \mu, \nu \in P(E)\}.$$

Thus each element of $L_R(E)$ is of the form $\sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}s_\mu s_{\nu^*}$ where F is a finite subset of $\{(\mu,\nu) \in P(E) \times P(E) : s(\mu) = s(\nu)\}$ and each $r_{\mu,\nu} \in R$. We assume that R has an

involution $r \mapsto \overline{r}$ which fixes both the multiplicative and additive identities. For subrings R of $\mathbb{C}$ we use complex conjugation. There is then a natural involution on $L_R(E)$ given by $\sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu} s_\mu s_{\nu^*} \mapsto \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} \overline{r_{\mu,\nu}} s_\nu s_{\mu^*}$. The *diagonal* of $L_R(E)$ is the commutative $*$-subalgebra

$$D(E) := \operatorname{span}_R\{s_\mu s_\mu^* : \mu \in P(E)\}.$$

2.2. **Groupoids.** Informally, a groupoid is a generalization of a group where multiplication is partially defined. More formally, a *groupoid* G is a small category in which every morphism is invertible. We identify the objects in G with the identity morphisms, and call the set of objects the unit space $G^{(0)}$ of G. As G is a category, each morphism γ in G has a range and a source, which we denote $r(\gamma)$ and $s(\gamma)$ respectively; we consider r and s as maps $r, s : G \to G^{(0)}$.[1] Notice that $r|_{G^{(0)}} = \mathrm{id}_{G^{(0)}} = s|_{G^{(0)}}$. Morphisms γ and η in G are composable if and only if $s(\gamma) = r(\eta)$. For subsets B and C of G we set

$$BC := \{\gamma\eta : s(\gamma) = r(\eta), \gamma \in B, \eta \in C\} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{-1} := \{\gamma^{-1} : \gamma \in B\}.$$

Let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources. We now describe the graph groupoid G_E of E from [15, Proposition 2.6]. Two infinite paths $x_1x_2\ldots$ and $y = y_1y_2\ldots$ in E are *shift equivalent with lag* k, written $x \sim_k y$, if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $i \geq N$, $x_{i+k} = y_i$: that is the infinite paths eventually are the same modulo a shift of k edges. This happens if and only if there exist $\mu, \nu \in P(E)$ and $z \in E^\infty$ such that $|\mu| - |\nu| = k$, $x = \mu z$ and $y = \nu z$. A quick computation shows that if $x \sim_k y$ and $y \sim_l z$, then $x \sim_{k+l} z$.

The groupoid G_E has objects E^∞ and morphisms

$$G_E = \{(x, k, y) \in E^\infty \times \mathbb{Z} \times E^\infty : x \sim_k y\}$$

where $r(x, k, y) = x$ and $s(x, k, y) = y$. Composition of morphisms is given by

$$(x, k, y)(y, l, z) = (x, k + l, z).$$

The identity morphism at $x \in E^\infty$ is $(x, 0, x)$, and we identify E^∞ with the identity morphisms via $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$. If $(x, k, y) \in G_E$, then $(y, -k, x) \in G_E$, and $(x, k, y)(y, -k, x) = (x, 0, x)$ implies that $(x, k, y)^{-1} = (y, -k, x)$.

Let $\mu, \nu \in P(E)$ with $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$. Set

$$Z(\mu, \nu) := \{(\mu z, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu z) : z \in s(\mu)E^\infty\}.$$

Then $Z(\mu, \nu) \subset G_E^{(0)}$ if and only if $\mu = \nu$; then $Z(\mu, \mu) = \{(\mu z, 0, \mu z) : z \in s(\mu)E^\infty\}$, and we identify it with

$$Z(\mu) := \{\mu z : z \in s(\mu)E^\infty\}.$$

The sets $Z(\mu, \nu)$ form a basis for a Hausdorff topology on G_E such that multiplication and inversion are continuous, and each $Z(\mu, \nu)$ is compact. Further, $r(Z(\mu, \nu)) = Z(\mu)$ and $s(Z(\mu, \nu)) = Z(\nu)$. (See [15, Corollary 2.2] for details.)

[1] We are now using r and s to mean two different things: the range and source maps in the graph E and the range and source maps of the groupoid G. It should be clear from context which map is used.

2.3. **Modelling $L_R(E)$ with a Steinberg algebra.** Let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources, G_E the graph groupoid and R a commutative ring with identity. For $B \subset G_E$, let $\mathbf{1}_B : G_E \to R$ be the characteristic function of B. The *Steinberg algebra* of G_E is

$$A_R(G_E) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)} : \mu, \nu \in P(E)\},$$

with pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, and convolution product and involution given by

$$f * g(\gamma) = \sum_{r(\eta)=r(\gamma)} f(\eta)g(\eta^{-1}\gamma) \text{ and } f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$$

for $f, g \in A_R(G)$. The support $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{\, \gamma : f(\gamma) \neq 0 \,\}$ of $f \in A_R(G_E)$ is compact open in G_E. We have $\operatorname{supp}(f * g) \subset \operatorname{supp}(f)\operatorname{supp}(g)$. By [3, Lemma 4.2] or by [10, Lemma 3.6] we can write each $f \in A_R(G)$ in *normal form*

$$f = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$$

where F is a finite subset of $\{(\mu, \nu) \in P(E) \times P(E) : s(\mu) = s(\nu)\}$ and $(\mu, \nu), (\beta, \gamma) \in F$ implies $|\nu| = |\beta|$ and $0 \neq r_{\mu,\nu} \in R$; it follows that the $Z(\mu, \nu)$ in F are mutually disjoint. A computation shows that

$$\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)} * \mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)} = \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)Z(\beta,\gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})^* = \mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}.$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\{\mathbf{1}_{Z(v)}, \mathbf{1}_{Z(e,r(e))}, \mathbf{1}_{Z(r(e),e)}\}$$

is a Leavitt E-family in $A_R(G_E)$, and the following is proved in Example 3.2 of [11].

Theorem 2.2. *Let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources and let R a commutative ring with identity. Then $s_e \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{Z(e,r(e))}$ induces a $*$-algebra isomorphism of $L_R(E)$ onto $A_R(G_E)$ such that*

$$\sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}s_\mu s_{\nu^*} \mapsto \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The isomorphism maps the diagonal $D(E)$ of $L_R(E)$ onto the commutative *-subalgebra $\operatorname{span}_R\{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu)} : \mu \in P(E)\}$.

Let $\mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma \in P(E)$. In $L_R(E)$ we have the multiplication formula,

$$s_\mu s_{\nu^*} s_\beta s_{\gamma^*} = \begin{cases} s_{\mu\beta'}s_{\gamma^*} & \text{if } \exists \beta' \in P(E) \text{ such that } \beta = \nu\beta' \\ s_\mu s_{(\gamma\nu')^*} & \text{if } \exists \nu' \in P(E) \text{ such that } \nu = \beta\nu' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see, for example, [17, Corollary 1.14]). This translates into

$$Z(\mu, \nu)Z(\beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} Z(\mu\beta', \gamma) & \text{if } \exists \beta' \in P(E) \text{ such that } \beta = \nu\beta' \\ Z(\mu, \gamma\nu') & \text{if } \exists \nu' \in P(E) \text{ such that } \nu = \beta\nu' \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We will work exclusively with the Steinberg algebra model of $L_R(E)$. We abuse notation and write $L_R(E)$ for $A_R(G_E)$ and $D(E)$ for the image of the diagonal $D(E)$ of $L_R(E)$ in $A_R(G)$. In particular, we view elements of $L_R(E)$ as functions from G_E to R.

3. Normalizers in the Leavitt path algebra.

Throughout this section E is a row-finite graph with no sources and R is an integral domain with identity. We view the Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$ as the Steinberg algebra of the graph groupoid G_E with diagonal

$$D(E) := \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu)} : \mu \in P(E)\}.$$

Definition 3.1. An $n \in L_R(E)$ is a *normalizer* of $D(E)$ if $ndn^*, n^*dn \in D(E)$ for all $d \in D(E)$. We denote the set of normalizers of $D(E)$ by $N(E)$.

In this section we prove that there is a partial action α of $N(E)$ on E^∞, and we investigate the properties of the normalizers. This will allow us in §4 to construct an algebraic analogue of the extended Weyl groupoid from [6, Section 4].

Let $n, m \in N(E)$. Then n^* and $nm \in N(E)$. Because $D(E)$ is a $*$-subring, $d \in N(E)$ for all $d \in D(E)$. Since $D(E)$ contains the set $\{\sum_{v\in V} \mathbf{1}_{Z(v)} : V \text{ is a finite subset of } E^0\}$ of local units for $L_R(E)$, we have nn^* and $n^*n \in D(E)$. In particular

$$\operatorname{supp}(nn^*) \cup \operatorname{supp}(n^*n) \subset E^\infty.$$

If $n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ is in normal form, then the $Z(\mu,\nu)$ are mutually disjoint, and hence the support of n is the disjoint union of the $Z(\mu,\nu)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(n^*) = \operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1}$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mu, \nu \in P(E)$ with $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$. We show that $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)} \in N(E)$. It suffices to see that $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}$ is in $D(E)$ for all $\tau \in P(E)$. Suppose $0 \neq \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}$. Then either $\tau = \nu\tau'$ or $\nu = \tau\nu'$ for some $\tau', \nu' \in P(E)$, and then the product is either $Z(\mu\tau')$ or $Z(\mu)$. Thus $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)} \in N(E)$.

The next proposition is the key to defining a partial action α of $N(E)$ on E^∞.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \in N(E)$ and let $x \in \operatorname{supp}(n)$. Then*

1. $\operatorname{supp}(n)\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1} \cup \operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1}\operatorname{supp}(n) \subset \operatorname{Iso}(G_E) := \{g \in G_E : r(g) = s(g)\}$*;*
2. $r(\operatorname{supp}(n)x)$ *is a singleton.*

Proof. (1) Write $n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ in normal form. Since

$$\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1}\operatorname{supp}(n) = \operatorname{supp}(n^*)\operatorname{supp}(n^*)^{-1},$$

it suffices to show

$$\operatorname{supp}(n)\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1} \subset \operatorname{Iso}(G_E).$$

Aiming for a contradiction, we suppose there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{supp}(n)$ such that $g_1g_2^{-1} \in \operatorname{supp}(n)\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1} \setminus \operatorname{Iso}(G_E)$. Then $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$ and $r(g_1) \neq r(g_2)$. Since the $Z(\mu,\nu)$ are mutually disjoint and $s|_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ is injective, there exist unique pairs $(\mu_i, \nu_i) \in F$ such that $g_i \in Z(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ and $Z(\mu_1, \nu_1) \neq Z(\mu_2, \nu_2)$.

Suppose there exists $(\mu, \nu) \in F$ such that $s(g_1) \in Z(\nu)$. Then $\nu = s(g_1)(0, |\nu|) = s(g_1)(0, |\nu_1|) = \nu_1$. In particular, since $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$ we have $\nu_2 = \nu_1$. Define

$$\Omega = \{\mu : (\mu, \nu_1) \in F\}.$$

Since $r|_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ is injective, for each $(\mu,\nu) \in F$, $r(g_1)Z(\mu,\nu)$ contains at most one element. Thus $r(g_1)\operatorname{supp}(n)$ is finite and hence so is $s(r(g_1)\operatorname{supp}(n))$; it is nonempty since $s(g_1) \in s(r(g_1)\operatorname{supp}(n))$. Choose $k > |\nu_1|$ such that

$$y \in s(r(g_1)\operatorname{supp}(n)) \setminus \{s(g_1)\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad y \notin Z(s(g_1)(0,k)).$$

Set $\tau = s(g_1)(0,k)$. Then $r(g_1)\operatorname{supp}(n)Z(\tau) = \{s(g_1)\}$.

Of course, $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)} \in D(E)$ and n is a normalizer, and so

$$n\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}n^* = \sum_{\kappa,\mu\in\Omega} r_{\kappa,\nu_1}\overline{r_{\mu,\nu_1}}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\kappa,\nu_1)Z(\tau)Z(\nu_1,\mu)} \in D(E). \tag{3.1}$$

Since R is an integral domain and τ extends ν_1, each (κ,μ)-summand in (3.1) is non-zero. By assumption, $g_1g_2^{-1} \notin \operatorname{Iso}(G_E)$, and in particular $g_1g_2^{-1} \notin G_E^{(0)}$. Since $n\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}n^* \in D(E)$ we must have

$$n\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}n^*(g_1g_2^{-1}) = 0.$$

But, for example, we have $\mu_1,\mu_2 \in \Omega$ with $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu_1,\nu_1)Z(\tau)Z(\nu_1,\mu_2)}(g_1g_2^{-1}) \neq 0$, and hence there must exist $\mu_3,\mu_4 \in \Omega$ with $(\mu_1,\mu_2) \neq (\mu_3,\mu_4)$ such that

$$\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu_3,\nu_1)Z(\tau)Z(\nu_1,\mu_4)}(g_1g_2^{-1}) \neq 0.$$

For $i = 1,2$, set

$$F_i := \{(\mu,\nu_1) \in F : r(g_i) \in Z(\mu)\}.$$

Note that $(\mu_1,\nu_1),(\mu_3,\nu_1) \in F_1$ and $(\mu_2,\nu_1),(\mu_4,\nu_1) \in F_2$. Thus both F_1 and F_2 have at least 2 elements.

We will obtain a contradiction by showing that F_1 and F_2 are both singletons. For $i = 1,2$, define $c_i : F_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $c_i((\mu,\nu_1)) = |\mu| - |\nu_1|$. Then c_i is injective because $c_i((\mu,\nu_1)) = c_i((\mu',\nu_1))$ implies that $|\mu| = |\mu'|$ and so $\mu = r(g_i)(0,|\mu|) = r(g_i)(0,|\mu'|) = \mu'$.

Since c_1 is injective, there exist unique elements $(\kappa_{\max},\nu_1),(\kappa_{\min},\nu_1) \in F_1$ such that $|\kappa_{\max}| - |\nu_1|$ is a maximum and $|\kappa_{\min}| - |\nu_1|$ is a minimum. Similarly, there exist unique elements $(\mu_{\max},\nu_1),(\mu_{\min},\nu_1) \in F_2$ such that $|\mu_{\max}|-|\nu_1|$ is a maximum and $|\mu_{\min}|-|\nu_1|$ is a minimum. It follows that the $(\kappa_{\max},\mu_{\min})$-summand of (3.1) has degree $|\kappa_{\max}|-|\mu_{\min}|$, the largest possible. Similarly, the $(\kappa_{\min},\mu_{\max})$-summand of (3.1) has degree $|\kappa_{\min}| - |\mu_{\max}|$, the smallest possible. If either $|\kappa_{\max}| - |\mu_{\min}| \neq 0$ or $|\kappa_{\min}| - |\mu_{\max}| \neq 0$, then these summands cannot be cancelled, contradicting $n\mathbf{1}_{Z(\tau)}n^*(g_1g_2^{-1}) = 0$. Thus $|\kappa_{\max}| = |\mu_{\min}|$ and $|\kappa_{\min}| = |\mu_{\max}| = 0$. Now $|\kappa_{\max}| = |\mu_{\min}| \leq |\mu_{\max}| = |\kappa_{\min}|$, and then we must have equality throughout. Since c_1 is injective, $|\kappa_{\max}| = |\kappa_{\min}|$ implies $\kappa_{\max} = \kappa_{\min}$. Similarly $\mu_{\max} = \mu_{\min}$. It follows that both F_1 and F_2 are singletons, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{supp}(n)\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1} \subset \operatorname{Iso}(G_E)$. This gives (1).

(2) Since $x \in \operatorname{supp}(n)$ we have $r(\operatorname{supp}(n)x) \neq \emptyset$. Let u_1 and $u_2 \in r(\operatorname{supp}(n)x)$. Then there exist $g_1,g_2 \in \operatorname{supp}(n)x$ with $r(g_i) = u_i$ for $i = 1,2$. Now (1) implies

$$g_1g_2^{-1} \in \operatorname{supp}(n)\operatorname{supp}(n)^{-1} \subset \operatorname{Iso}(G).$$

Thus $u_1 = r(g_1) = r(g_1g_2^{-1}) = s(g_1g_2^{-1}) = r(g_2) = u_2$, and $r(\operatorname{supp}(n)x)$ is a singleton. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \in N(E)$. Define*

$$\operatorname{Dom}(n) := \operatorname{supp}(n^*n) \text{ and } \operatorname{Ran}(n) := \operatorname{supp}(nn^*).$$

(1) *Let $x \in s(\operatorname{supp}(n))$. Then $\alpha_n(x) := r(\operatorname{supp}(n)x)$ gives a well-defined function $\alpha_n : \operatorname{supp}(n) \to E^\infty$.*
(2) *Let $d \in D(E)$ and view $d\circ\alpha_n : G_E \to R$ by setting $d\circ\alpha_n(g) = 0$ if $g \notin s(\operatorname{supp}(n))$. Then*

$$n^*d = (d\circ\alpha_n)n^* \text{ and} \tag{3.2}$$

$$n^*dn = (d\circ\alpha_n)n^*n. \tag{3.3}$$

(3) *If* $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$, *then* $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Ran}(n)$.

Proof. Let $x \in s(\mathrm{supp}(n))$. Then $\alpha_n(x) := r(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)$ is well-defined by Proposition 3.3(2). Let $d \in D(E)$ and let $g \in G_E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(n^*d)(g) &= \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} n^*(h)d(h^{-1}g)\\ &= n^*(g)d(s(g))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}((d\circ\alpha_n)n^*)(g) &= \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} d(\alpha_n(h))n^*(h^{-1}g)\\ &= n^*(g)d(\alpha_n(r(g)))\\ &= n^*(g)d(s(g))\end{aligned}$$

giving (3.2). Multiplying on the right by n gives (3.3). Applying (3.3) with $d = nn^*$ and evaluating at $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ gives

$$(n^*n(x))^2 = n^*(nn^*)n(x) = nn^*(\alpha_n(x))n^*n(x).$$

Since R is an integral domain, $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ implies $(n^*n(x))^2 \neq 0$, and then that $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Ran}(n)$. □

Example 3.5. Consider the normalizer $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ of $D(E)$. Let $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}) = Z(\nu)$. Then $r(Z(\mu,\nu)x) = \mu\sigma^{|\nu|}(x)$. Thus

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(x) = \mu\sigma^{|\nu|}(x).$$

Now consider a normalizer $n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ in normal form. Let $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$. Then $x \in Z(\nu)$ for a unique ν_0 and at least one pair $(\mu,\nu_0) \in F$. By Proposition 3.3(2), $r(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)$ is a singleton. Thus

$$\alpha_n(x) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu_0)}}(x)$$

for any $(\mu,\nu_0) \in F$.

We now prove that α is a partial action of the normalizers $N(E)$ on the infinite path space E^∞. In the proof, we will use the following observation:

Lemma 3.6. *Let* G *be a groupoid and* $X, Y, Z \subset G$. *Then*

$$r(Xr(YZ)) = r(XYZ).$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $m, n \in N(E)$, *and* $d \in D(E)$. *Recall that for* $x \in s(\mathrm{supp}(n))$, $\alpha_n(x) := r(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)$.

(1) *We have* $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(mn)$ *if and only if* $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ *and* $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(m)$, *and then* $\alpha_m \circ \alpha_n = \alpha_{mn}$.
(2) *If* $x \in \mathrm{supp}(d) = \mathrm{Dom}(d)$, *then* $\alpha_d(x) = x$.
(3) *The map* α_n *is a homeomorphism of* $\mathrm{Dom}(n)$ *onto* $\mathrm{Ran}(n) = \mathrm{Dom}(n^*)$ *with* $\alpha_n^{-1} = \alpha_{n^*}$.

Proof. (1) As in Lemma 3.4, we consider the extension of $m^*m \circ \alpha_n$ to all of G_E by zero. Then for all $x \in G_E^0$, (3.3) gives

$$(mn)^*(mn)(x) = n^*(m^*m)n(x) = m^*m(\alpha_n(x))n^*n(x)$$

as elements of R. Now let $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ and $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(m)$. Then $m^*m(\alpha_n(x)) \neq 0$ and $n^*n(x) \neq 0$. Since R is an integral domain, their product is nonzero also, and hence $(mn)^*(mn)(x) \neq 0$. Thus $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(mn)$. Conversely, let $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(mn)$. Then $(mn)^*(mn)(x) \neq 0$, and it follows that both $m^*m(\alpha_n(x))$ and $n^*n(x)$ are nonzero. Thus $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ and $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(m)$.

Now assume that $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(mn)$. Then $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ and $\alpha_n(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(m)$. To show that $\alpha_m \circ \alpha_n = \alpha_{mn}$, we need to show

$$r(\mathrm{supp}(m)(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)) = r(\mathrm{supp}(mn)x).$$

For this we compute with

$$n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad m = \sum_{(\mu',\nu')\in F'} r_{\mu',\nu'}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu',\nu')}$$

in normal form, and

$$mn = \sum_{(\mu',\nu')\in F',(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu',\nu'}r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu',\nu')Z(\mu,\nu)}.$$

There exists $(\mu_0,\nu_0) \in F, (\mu_0',\nu_0') \in F'$ such that $x \in Z(\nu_0)$, $\alpha_n(x) = r(Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)x)$, $\alpha_n(x) \in Z(\nu_0')$, and

$$\alpha_m(\alpha_n(x)) = r(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')\alpha_n(x)) = r(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')(r(Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)x))).$$

But $r_{\mu_0',\nu_0'}r_{\mu_0,\nu_0}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)}$ is a nonzero summand in mn with $x \in s(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')Z(\mu_0,\nu_0))$. Thus $\alpha_{mn}(x) = r(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)x)$ by the definition of α. By Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\alpha_m(\alpha_n(x)) = r(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')(r(Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)x))) = r(Z(\mu_0',\nu_0')Z(\mu_0,\nu_0)x) = \alpha_{mn}(x).$$

(2) Let $d = \sum_{\mu\in F} r_\mu \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu)} \in D(E)$ in normal form. Then $x \in \mathrm{supp}(d^*d)$ implies $x \in Z(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in F$. Now $\alpha_d(x) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\mu)}}(x) = \mu\sigma^{|\mu|}(x) = x$ by Example 3.5.

(3) Since nn^* and $n^*n \in D$, we have $\alpha_n \circ \alpha_{n^*}(x) = \alpha_{nn^*}(x) = x$ by (1) and (2). Similarly, $\alpha_{n^*} \circ \alpha_n(x) = x$, and hence $\alpha_n : \mathrm{Dom}(n) \to \mathrm{Ran}(n) = \mathrm{Dom}(n^*)$ is invertible with $\alpha_n^{-1} = \alpha_{n^*}$.

By symmetry, it suffices to show that α_n is continuous (for then so is $\alpha_{n^*} = \alpha_n^{-1}$). Take n in normal form as above. Suppose that $x_k \to x$ in $\mathrm{Dom}(n)$. There exists $(\mu_0,\nu_0) \in F$ such that $x = \nu_0 x' \in Z(\nu_0)$. Eventually, $x_k \in Z(\nu_0)$, that is, $x_k = \nu_0 x_k'$. Then $x_k' \to x'$. By Example 3.5 we have

$$\alpha_n(x_k) = \mu_0 x_k' \to \mu_0 x' = \alpha_n(x).$$

Thus α_n is continuous. It follows that α_n is a homeomorphism. □

We will construct the algebraic analogue of the extended Weyl groupoid in §4. This construction uses the partial action α_n, and we will have to work separately with isolated points in E^∞. If $x \in E^\infty$ is isolated, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Z(x(0,k)) = \{x\}$ (and then $Z(x(0,l)) = \{x\}$ for all $l \geq k$). We write p_x for $\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,k))} = \mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}$. For example, if x satisfies $r^{-1}(r(x_i)) = \{x_i\}$ for eventually all i, then x is isolated in E^∞. In particular, if η is a cycle without entry, then $\tau\eta\eta\eta...$ is isolated for any $\tau \in P(E)$ with $s(\tau) = r(\eta)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $x \in E^\infty$ be an isolated path and let $n \in N(E)$.*

(1) *If $p_x n p_x$ is nonzero, then $p_x n p_x = r\mathbf{1}_{\{(x,k,x)\}}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in R$.*
(2) *$p_x n p_x \in N(E)$.*
(3) *$p_x n p_x$ is homogeneous. If $x = \tau\eta\eta\cdots$ where η is a cycle without entry, then the degree of $p_x n p_x$ is a multiple of the length of η; otherwise the degree is 0.*

Proof. For (1), write

$$n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$$

in normal form. Choose $M \geq \max\{|\mu|, |\nu| : (\mu,\nu) \in F\}$ such that $Z(x(0,M)) = \{x\}$. Then $p_x = \mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))}$ and

$$p_x n p_x = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu,\nu)Z(x(0,M))}.$$

By (2.2), a summand $r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu,\nu)Z(x(0,M))} \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu = x(0,|\mu|)$ and $\nu = x(0,|\nu|)$. But all the ν have the same length l, and with $Q = \{\,\mu : (\mu, x(0,l)) \in F, \mu = x(0,|\mu|)\,\}$ we have

$$p_x n p_x = \sum_{\mu\in Q} r_{\mu,x(0,l)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu,x(0,l))Z(x(0,M))}. \tag{3.4}$$

If $\mu, \mu' \in Q$ with $|\mu| = |\mu'|$ then $\mu = \mu'$. Since Q is finite there exists a unique $\mu_{\min}$ of smallest length and a unique $\mu_{\max}$ of largest length. Since n is a normalizer $p_x n p_x n^* p_x$ is in the diagonal, and is therefore homogeneous of degree zero. Now

$$p_x n p_x n^* p_x = \sum_{\mu,\mu'\in Q} r_{\mu,x(0,l)}\overline{r_{\mu',x(0,l)}}\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu,x(0,l))Z(x(0,M))Z(x(0,M),\mu')Z(x(0,M))}. \tag{3.5}$$

Consider the summand

$$r_{\mu_{\max},x(0,l)}\overline{r_{\mu_{\min},x(0,l)}}\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu_{\max},x(0,l))Z(x(0,M))Z(x(0,M),\mu_{\min})Z(x(0,M))}$$

in the above sum: it is nonzero since R is an integral ideal domain and

$$Z(x(0,M))Z(\mu_{\max},x(0,l))Z(x(0,M))Z(x(0,M),\mu_{\min})Z(x(0,M)) \neq \emptyset,$$

and it has degree $|\mu_{\max}| - |\mu_{\min}|$. By definition of $\mu_{\max}$ and $\mu_{\min}$, it is the unique summand in (3.5) of maximal degree. So if $|\mu_{\max}| - |\mu_{\min}| \neq 0$, then there is no other summand in (3.5) to cancel with it. Thus $\mu_{\max} = \mu_{\min}$, and now Q is a singleton. Now we apply (2.2) to the single summand of (3.4) to get $p_x n p_x = r\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in P(E)$ and $r \in R$. Now

$$Z(\beta,\gamma) = \operatorname{supp}(p_x n p_x) \subset \{x\}\operatorname{supp}(n)\{x\} \subset \{x\}G_E\{x\}.$$

Since r and s restricted to $Z(\beta,\gamma)$ are injective, $Z(\beta,\gamma) = \{(x, |\beta| - |\gamma|, x)\}$. This gives (1) with $k = |\beta| - |\gamma|$.

For (2), $p_x n p_x = r\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}$ is a normalizer by Example 3.5.

For (3), $p_x n p_x = r\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}$ is homogeneous of degree $|\beta| - |\gamma|$. Since $(x, |\beta| - |\gamma|, x) \in G_E$ we have $\sigma^{|\beta|}(x) = \sigma^{|\gamma|}(x)$. If $x = \tau\eta\eta\cdots$ where η is a cycle without entry, then $|\beta| - |\gamma|$ must be a multiple of the length of η. Otherwise, x is not periodic, and then $|\beta| - |\gamma| = 0$. □

The following lemma is the analogue of Lemma 4.2 in [6].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $n \in N(E)$ and let $x \in \operatorname{Dom}(n)$ be an isolated path. Then*

(1) $np_x n^* = nn^* p_{\alpha_n(x)}$ *and*
(2) $np_x = p_{\alpha_n(x)} n$.

Proof. For (1), let $y \in \mathrm{Dom}(n^*)$ and use (3.3) with $d = p_x$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} np_x n^*(y) &= p_x(\alpha_{n^*}(y)) nn^*(y) \\ &= \begin{cases} nn^*(y) & \text{if } x = \alpha_n(y) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= p_{\alpha_n(x)}(y) nn^*(y) \\ &= [p_{\alpha_n(x)} nn^*](y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $np_x n^* = nn^* p_{\alpha_n(x)}$. For (2), we compute the convolutions to see that

$$np_x(g) = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} n(h) p_x(h^{-1}g) = n(g) p_x(s(g))$$

$$p_{\alpha_n(x)} n(g) = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} p_{\alpha_n(x)}(h) n(h^{-1}g) = n(g) p_{\alpha_n(x)}(r(g)).$$

Consider $g \in \mathrm{supp}(n)$. If $x = s(g)$, then $r(g) = r(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)$, that is, $r(g) = \alpha_n(x)$. On the other hand, if $r(g) = \alpha_n(x)$, then $r(g) = r(\mathrm{supp}(n)x)$ by definition of α_n, and hence $x = s(g)$. Thus $np_x(g) = p_{\alpha_n(x)} n(g)$, and (2) follows. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let $a \in L_R(E)$ be 0-graded and let x be an isolated path in E^∞. Then $p_x a p_x = r p_x$ for some $r \in R$.*

Proof. Write $a = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu} \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ in normal form. Since a is zero graded, for each $(\mu, \nu) \in F$ we have $|\mu| = |\nu|$ (see [10, Lemma 3.5]). Then

$$p_x a p_x = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu} \mathbf{1}_{\{x\} Z(\mu,\nu) \{x\}}.$$

Because the elements of F are mutually disjoint, there is at most one $(\mu, \nu) \in F$ such that $(x, 0, x) \in Z(\mu, \nu)$. Thus either $p_x a p_x = r_{\mu,\nu} p_x$ or $p_x a p_x = 0 = 0 p_x$. □

4. The algebraic Weyl Groupoid

Throughout this section E is a row-finite graph with no sources and R is an integral domain with identity. We use the partial action α from §3 to construct a groupoid associated to the inclusion of the diagonal $D(E)$ in $L_R(E)$. This gives an algebraic version of the "extended Weyl groupoid" of [6].

For the next definition, recall that if $x \in E^\infty$ is isolated and $n \in N(E)$ then $p_x n p_x$ is homogeneous by Lemma 3.8.

Definition 4.1. We define a relation $\sim$ on

$$\{(n, x) : n \in N(E), x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)\}$$

by $(n, x) \sim (m, x')$ if $x = x'$ and either

(1) x is an isolated path in E^∞, $\alpha_n(x) = \alpha_m(x)$ and the degree of $p_x n^* m p_x$ is 0; or
(2) x is not an isolated path in E^∞ and there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m)$ of x such that $\alpha_n(y) = \alpha_m(y)$ for all $y \in V$.

Remark 4.2. Item (2) says that α_n and α_m agree as germs: this is exactly the condition used in [18, Definition 4.2] and is condition (b) in [6, Proposition 4.6]. Condition (1) is *a priori* different from condition (a) of [6, Proposition 4.6]. Condition (a) requires the K_1 class of a unitary $U_{x,n,m} := cp_x n^* m p_x$ (for the constant c which makes U a unitary) to be zero. However, $p_x C^*(E) p_x$ is Morita equivalent to either $C(\mathbb{T})$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $K_1(C(\mathbb{T})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by an isomorphism sending z^n to n. A computation now shows the K_1 class of the unitary $U_{x,n,m}$ is precisely the degree of $p_x n^* m p_x$.

Lemma 4.3. *The relation in Definition 4.1 is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. That $\sim$ is an equivalence relation when restricted to

$$\{(n,x) : n \in N(E), x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n) \text{ is not isolated}\}$$

follows from the proof of [6, Proposition 4.6].

Let x be an isolated path in E^∞. Everything is straightforward, except perhaps transitivity. So suppose that $(n_1,x) \sim (n_2,x)$ and $(n_2,x) \sim (n_3,x)$. Then $\alpha_{n_1}(x) = \alpha_{n_2}(x)$ and $p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x$ is 0-graded, and $\alpha_{n_2}(x) = \alpha_{n_3}(x)$ and $p_x n_2^* n_3 p_x$ is 0-graded. Thus $\alpha_{n_1}(x) = \alpha_{n_3}(x)$. We compute, using Lemma 3.9(1) and then (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned}(p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x)(p_x n_2^* n_3 p_x) &= p_x n_1^* (n_2 p_x n_2^*) n_3 p_x \\ &= p_x n_1^* (n_2 n_2^* p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x)}) n_3 p_x \\ &= (n_2 n_2^* p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x)} \circ \alpha_{n_2 n_2^* p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x)}}) p_x n_1^* n_3 p_x. \qquad (4.1)\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x$ and $p_x n_2^* n_3 p_x$ are both 0-graded so is their product. Thus (4.1) is 0-graded. Since $n_2 n_2^* p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x)} \circ \alpha_{n_2 n_2^* p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x)}} \in D(E)$, it follows that $p_x n_1^* n_3 p_x$ is 0-graded as well. We have proved $(n_1,x) \sim (n_3,x)$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $d, d' \in D(E)$ and let $x \in \mathrm{supp}(d) \cap \mathrm{supp}(d')$. Then $(d,x) \sim (d',x)$.*

Proof. First suppose that x is not isolated. By Proposition 3.7(2), $\alpha_d(y) = y = \alpha_{d'}(y)$ for all y in the open neighbourhood $\mathrm{supp}(d) \cap \mathrm{supp}(d')$ of x. Thus $(d,x) \sim (d',x)$.

Second, suppose that x is isolated. We still have $\alpha_d(x) = x = \alpha_{d'}(x)$. Now $\mathrm{supp}(p_x d^* d' p_x) \subset G^{(0)}$ implies that $p_x d^* d' p_x$ has degree 0. Thus again $(d,x) \sim (d',x)$. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $\{(n,x) : n \in N(E), x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)\}$ from Lemma 4.3. Denote the collection of equivalence classes by W_E. Then W_E, equipped with the following structure, is a groupoid:*

- *partially-defined product $[(n_1,x_1)][(n_2,x_2)] := [(n_1 n_2, x_2)]$ if $\alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = x_1$;*
- *unit space $W_E^{(0)} := \{[(d,x)] : d \in D(E), x \in \mathrm{Dom}(d)\}$;*
- *range and source maps $r, s : W_E \to W_E^{(0)}$ defined by*

$$r([(n,x)]) := [(nn^*, \alpha_n(x))] \text{ and } s([(n,x)]) := [(n^*n, x)];$$

- *inverse $[(n,x)]^{-1} := [n^*, \alpha_n(x)]$.*

Proof. A groupoid is a small category with inverses; here the set of morphisms will be W_E and the set of objects will be $W_E^{(0)} = \{[(d,x)] : d \in D, x \in \mathrm{Dom}(d)\}$ as a subset of the morphisms.

We start by showing that the product is well-defined. Suppose that $(m_1,x_1) \sim (n_1,x_1)$, $(m_2,x_2) \sim (n_2,x_2)$, and $[(n_1,x_1)]$ and $[(n_2,x_2)]$ are composable, that is, $\alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = x_1$. We consider two cases: either x_1 is an isolated path or it is not. Since α_{n_2} is a homeomorphism,

it follows that x_1 is isolated if and only if x_2 is. In either case, we need to show that $[m_1, x_1]$ and $[m_2, x_2]$ are composable and $(n_1n_2, x_2) \sim (m_1m_2, x_2)$.

First suppose that x_1 is isolated. We know $\alpha_{n_1}(x_1) = \alpha_{m_1}(x_1)$, $\alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{m_2}(x_2)$, and $p_{x_1}n_1^*m_1p_{x_1}$ and $p_{x_2}n_2^*m_2p_{x_2}$ are 0-graded. Thus $x_1 = \alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{m_2}(x_2)$, and hence $[(m_1, x_1)]$ and $[(m_2, x_2)]$ are composable as well. We have

$$\alpha_{n_1n_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{n_1} \circ \alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{n_1}(x_1) = \alpha_{m_1}(x_1) = \alpha_{m_1} \circ \alpha_{m_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{m_1m_2}(x_2).$$

We compute using Lemma 3.9(2) several times:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{x_2}(n_1n_2)^*(m_1m_2)p_{x_2} &= (n_2p_{x_2})^*n_1^*m_1p_{\alpha_{m_2}(x_2)}m_2 \\ &= (p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x_2)}n_2)^*n_1^*m_1p_{\alpha_{m_2}(x_2)}m_2 \\ &= n_2^*(p_{x_1}n_1^*m_1p_{x_1})m_2 \\ &= n_2^*(rp_{x_1})m_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $r \in R$ by Lemma 3.10. But we know

$$p_{x_2}n_2^*m_2p_{x_2} = n_2^*p_{\alpha_{n_2}(x_2)}p_{\alpha_{m_2}(x_2)}m_2 = n_2^*p_{x_1}m_2$$

is 0-graded, and now $n_2^*(rp_{x_1})m_2$ must be as well. Thus $p_{x_2}(n_1n_2)^*(m_1m_2)p_{x_2}$ is 0-graded as required. We have proved that the product is well-defined when x_1 is isolated.

Second, suppose x_1 is not isolated. Then x_2 is not isolated either. By definition of $\sim$ there exists an open neighbourhood V of x_1 such that $V \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n_1) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m_1)$ and $\alpha_{n_1}(v) = \alpha_{m_1}(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Similarly, there exists an open neighbourhood Y of x_2 such that $Y \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n_2) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m_2)$ and $\alpha_{n_2}(y) = \alpha_{m_2}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. We have $x_1 = \alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = \alpha_{m_2}(x_2)$, and hence $[(m_1, x_1)]$ and $[(m_2, x_2)]$ are composable as well. Let $U = \alpha_{n_2}^{-1}(V) \cap Y$. Then $\alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = x_1$ implies $x_2 \in U$. Since $\alpha_{n_2}^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism, U is an open neighbourhood of x_2. Let $x \in U$. Then $x \in Y$ implies $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n_2) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m_2)$. Also, $x \in \alpha_{n_2}^{-1}(V)$ implies $\alpha_{n_2}(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(n_1) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(n_2)$. Now $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n_1n_2) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m_1m_2)$ by Lemma 3.7. Thus $U \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n_1n_2) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(m_1m_2)$. Finally,

$$\alpha_{n_1n_2}(x) = \alpha_{n_1} \circ \alpha_{n_2}(x) = \alpha_{n_1} \circ \alpha_{m_2}(x) = \alpha_{m_1} \circ \alpha_{m_2}(x) = \alpha_{m_1m_2}(x).$$

Thus the product is also well-defined when x_1 is not isolated.

It is now straightforward to observe that the product is associative: suppose that $x_1 = \alpha_{n_2}(x_2)$ and $x_2 = \alpha_{n_3}(x_3)$. Then

$$\big([(n_1, x_1)][(n_2, x_2)]\big)[(n_3, x_3)] = [(n_1n_2, x_2)][(n_3, x_3)] = [((n_1n_2)n_3, x_3)]$$

and $\alpha_{n_2n_3}(x_3) = \alpha_{n_2}(x_2) = x_1$, whence

$$[(n_1, x_1)]\big([(n_2, x_2)][(n_3, x_3)]\big) = [(n_1, x_1)][(n_2n_3, x_3)] = [(n_1(n_2n_3), x_3)].$$

To see that the range map is well-defined, suppose that $(n, x) \sim (m, x)$. Then $\alpha_n(x) = \alpha_m(x)$. Now because $nn^*, mm^* \in D(E)$, we have $(nn^*, \alpha_n(x)) \sim (mm^*, \alpha_m(x))$ by Lemma 4.4.

We claim that $r([(n, x)])[(n, x)] = [(nn^*n, x)]$ is just $[(n, x)]$. If x is isolated, this follows because $\alpha_{nn^*n}(x) = \alpha_n \circ \alpha_{n^*n}(x) = \alpha_n(x)$ and $p_xn^*nn^*np_x$ is 0-graded. If x is not isolated, consider the open neighbourhood $U = \mathrm{Dom}(nn^*n) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ of x: we have $y \in U$ implies that $\alpha_{nn^*n}(y) = \alpha_n \circ \alpha_{n^*n}(y) = \alpha_n(y)$ as required. In either case $(nn^*n, x) \sim (n, x)$, and hence $r([(n, x)])[(n, x)] = [(n, x)]$. Similarly the source map is well-defined and $[(n, x)]s([(n, x)]) = [(n, x)]$.

Finally, we observe that

$$[(n,x)][(n^*,\alpha_n(x))] = [(nn^*,\alpha_n(x))] = r([(n,x)])$$
$$[(n^*,\alpha_n(x))][(n,x)] = [(n^*n,x)] = s([(n,x)]).$$

It now follows from the associativity of the product that $[(n,x)]^{-1} = [(n^*,\alpha_n(x))]$ is a well-defined inverse for $[(n,x)]$. □

For $n \in N(E)$ define

$$Z(n) := \{[(n,x)] : x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\{Z(n) : n \in N(E)\}$ is a basis for a topology on W_E. It is not immediately clear that W_E equipped with this topology is a topological groupoid. We will deduce this from Theorem 4.9 below which shows that G_E and W_E are isomorphic as topological groupoids. The remainder of this section is devoted to proving this.

Lemma 4.6. *The map $\Phi = \Phi_E : G_E \to W_E$ defined by*

$$(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) \mapsto [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x)].$$

is a well-defined groupoid homomorphism.

Proof. We start by showing that Φ is well-defined. Suppose that $(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) = (\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)$ in G_E for some $\mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma \in P(E)$ and $x, y \in E^\infty$. We need to show that $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x) \sim (\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y)$. We have $\mu x = \beta y$, $|\mu| - |\nu| = |\beta| - |\gamma|$ and $\nu x = \gamma y$. First suppose that νx is isolated. We have

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(\nu x) = \mu x = \beta y = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\gamma y) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\nu x)$$

and $p_{\nu x}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}p_{\nu x}$ has degree $|\nu|-|\mu|+|\beta|-|\gamma| = 0$. Thus $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x) \sim (\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y)$ when νx is isolated. Second, suppose that νx is not isolated. Since $\mu x = \beta y$, $|\mu| - |\nu| = |\beta| - |\gamma|$ and $\nu x = \gamma y$ either μ extends β *and* ν extends γ, or β extends μ *and* γ extends ν. Suppose μ extends β and ν extends γ (the other case is similar). Let $z \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}) = Z(\nu) \cap Z(\gamma) = Z(\nu)$, which is a neighbourhood of νx. Then

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(z) = r(Z(\mu,\nu)z) = r(Z(\gamma,\beta)z) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(z).$$

Thus $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x) \sim (\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y)$ when νx is not isolated. We have shown that Φ is well-defined.

Next we show that Φ is a groupoid homomorphism. Suppose that $(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x)$ and $(\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)$ are composable in G_E, that is $\nu x = \beta y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi((\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x)(\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)) &= \Phi((\mu x, |\mu| + |\beta| - (|\nu| + |\gamma|), \gamma y)) \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu x(0,|\beta|), \gamma y(0,|\nu|))}, \gamma y)]; \\ \Phi((\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x))\Phi((\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)) &= [\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x][\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y] \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y)].\end{aligned}$$

Let $m := \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu x(0,|\beta|), \gamma y(0,|\nu|))}$ and $n := \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}$. We need to show that

$$(m, \gamma y) \sim (n, \gamma y).$$

First suppose that γy is isolated. Since $x = \sigma^{|\nu|}(\beta y)$ we have $\sigma^{|\beta|}(x) = \sigma^{|\nu|}(y)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_m(\gamma y) &= \mu x(0,|\beta|)y(|\nu|,\infty) = \mu x(0,|\beta|)\sigma^{|\nu|}(y)\\ &= \mu x(0,|\beta|)\sigma^{|\beta|}(x) = \mu x = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(\nu x)\\ &= \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(\beta y) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\gamma y) = \alpha_n(\gamma y).\end{aligned}$$

The degree of

$$p_{\gamma y}m^*np_{\gamma y} = p_{\gamma y}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu x(0,|\beta|),\gamma y(0,|\nu|))})^*\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}p_{\gamma y}$$

is $-(|\mu|+|\beta|-(|\nu|+|\gamma|))+(|\mu|-|\nu|+|\beta|-|\gamma|)=0$. Thus $(m,\gamma y)\sim(n,\gamma y)$ when γy is isolated.

Second, suppose that γy is not isolated. Since $\nu x = \beta y$, either ν extends β or vice versa. Assume $\nu = \beta\nu'$ (the other case is similar). Then $n = \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\gamma\nu')}$ and $\mathrm{Dom}(n) = Z(\gamma\nu')$. Since $\mathrm{Dom}(m) = Z(\gamma y(0,|\nu|))$ we have $\mathrm{Dom}(m)\cap\mathrm{Dom}(n) = Z(\gamma y(0,|\nu|))$ is an open neighbourhood of γy. Let $z \in Z(\gamma y(0,|\nu|))$. Then

$$x(0,|\beta|) = (\nu x)(|\nu|,|\nu|+|\beta|) = (\beta y)(|\nu|,|\nu|+|\beta|) = y(|\nu|-|\beta|,|\nu|) = y(|\nu'|,|\nu|).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_m(z) &= \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu x(0,|\beta|),\gamma y(0,|\nu|))}}(z) = \mu x(0,|\beta|)\sigma^{|\gamma|+|\nu|}(z)\\ &= \mu y(|\nu'|,|\nu|)\sigma^{|\gamma|+|\nu|}(z) = \mu z(|\gamma|+|\nu'|,|\gamma|+|\nu|)\sigma^{|\gamma|+|\nu|}(z)\\ &= \mu\sigma^{|\gamma|+|\nu|}(z) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\gamma\nu')}}(z) = \alpha_n(z).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(m,\gamma y)\sim(n,\gamma y)$ when γy is not isolated. We have shown that Φ is a groupoid homomorphism. □

To see that Φ, as defined in Lemma 4.6, is injective we need another lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $x \in E^\infty$, $\mu,\nu \in P(E)$ and η a cycle in $P(E)$ such that*

$$\nu x = \kappa\eta\eta\eta\ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \mu x = \lambda\eta\eta\eta\ldots$$

where κ and λ in $P(E)$ are of minimal length (in the sense that κ and λ contain minimal subpaths of η). Then there exist $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mu|-|\nu| = |\lambda\eta^i|-|\kappa\eta^j|$.

Proof. There are two cases. First, suppose κ extends ν, that is, $\kappa = \nu\nu'$. Then

$$\lambda\eta\eta\eta\cdots = \mu x = \mu\sigma^{|\nu|}(\kappa\eta\eta\ldots) = \mu\nu'\eta\eta\eta\ldots$$

Since λ is of minimal length we must have $\mu\nu' = \lambda\eta^i$ for some $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$|\mu|-|\nu| = |\mu\nu'|-|\nu\nu'| = |\lambda\eta^i|-|\kappa| = |\lambda\eta^i|-|\kappa\eta^0|$$

as needed.

Second, suppose ν extends κ. Then $\nu = \kappa\eta^j\delta$ for some $j\in\mathbb{N}$ and some initial segment δ of η. Since $\nu x = \kappa\eta\eta\eta\ldots$, we have

$$x = \delta'\eta\eta\eta\ldots \text{ where } \delta\delta' = \eta.$$

Thus $\lambda\eta\eta\eta\cdots = \mu x = \mu\delta'\eta\eta\eta\ldots$. Because λ has minimal length, there exists $i\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mu\delta'| = |\lambda\eta^i|$. Now

$$|\mu|-|\nu| = |\mu|-|\kappa\eta^j\delta| = |\mu\delta'|-|\kappa\eta^j\delta\delta'| = |\lambda\eta^i|-|\kappa\eta^{j+1}|$$

as needed. □

Lemma 4.8. *The map $\Phi: G_E \to W_E$ defined in Lemma 4.6 is a bijection.*

Proof. To see that Φ is injective, suppose that $\Phi(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) = \Phi(\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)$, that is, $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x) \sim (\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, \gamma y)$. Thus $\nu x = \gamma y$. First, suppose that $\nu x = \gamma y$ is isolated. Then

$$\mu x = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(\nu x) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\nu x) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\gamma y) = \beta y.$$

The degree of $p_{\nu x}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}p_{\nu x}$ is 0, that is, $|\nu| - |\mu| + |\beta| - |\gamma| = 0$. Thus $(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) = (\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y)$ as required.

Second, suppose that $\nu x = \gamma y$ is not isolated. Then there exists a neighbourhood $V \subset \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)})$ of νx such that $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(v) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Thus $\mu x = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(\nu x) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(\gamma y) = \beta y$. Aiming for a contradiction, assume that $|\mu| - |\nu| \neq |\beta| - |\gamma|$. This, and the observation above that $\mu\sigma^{|\nu|}(\nu x) = \beta\sigma^{|\gamma|}(\nu x)$ implies that νx is eventually periodic, say $\nu x = \kappa\eta\eta\dots$ for some cycle η and a $\kappa \in P(E)$ of minimal length. Then $\mu x = \lambda\eta\eta\dots$ for some $\lambda \in P(E)$ of minimal length as well. By Lemma 4.7, there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\lambda\eta^i| - |\kappa\eta^j| = |\mu| - |\nu|$. Similarly, there exist $i', j' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\lambda\eta^{i'}| - |\kappa\eta^{j'}| = |\beta| - |\gamma|$. We now have

$$(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) = (\lambda\eta^i\eta\dots, |\lambda\eta^i| - |\kappa\eta^j|, \kappa\eta^j\eta\dots) \text{ and}$$
$$(\beta y, |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y) = (\lambda\eta^{i'}\eta\dots, |\lambda\eta^{i'}| - |\kappa\eta^{j'}|, \kappa\eta^{j'}\eta\dots).$$

We may assume that $|\lambda\eta^i| \geq |\mu|$ and that $|\kappa\eta^j| \geq |\nu|$. Now

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}) \supset \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^i,\kappa\eta^j)}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^{i'},\kappa\eta^{j'})}) \neq \emptyset.$$

By taking an intersection, we may assume that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^i,\kappa\eta^j)}}(v) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^{i'},\kappa\eta^{j'})}}(v) \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

Since νx is not isolated, η must have an entry, and then there exists a path ζ with $s(\zeta) = s(\eta)$, $|\zeta| \leq |\eta|$ and $\zeta \neq \eta$. There exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l \geq j, j'$ and $Z(\kappa\eta^l\zeta) \subset Z(\kappa\eta^l) \subset V$. But now for any infinite path $z = \kappa\eta^l\zeta z' \in Z(\kappa\eta^l\zeta)$ we have

$$\lambda\eta^{l+i-j}\zeta z' = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^i,\kappa\eta^j)}}(\kappa\eta^l\zeta z') = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\lambda\eta^{i'},\kappa\eta^{j'})}}(\kappa\eta^l\zeta z') = \lambda\eta^{l+i'-j'}\zeta z'.$$

This is a contradiction because $l + i - j \neq l + i' - j'$ and the exit path ζ does not extend μ. We have now shown that Φ is injective.

To see that Φ is onto, fix $[(n, y)] \in W_E$. Then $y \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$. Write $n = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in F} r_{\mu,\nu}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$ in normal form. Let $x := \alpha_n(y)$. By Example 3.5, there exists $(\beta, \gamma) \in F$ such that $x = \alpha_n(y) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(y) = \beta\sigma^{|\gamma|}(y)$. Now $\sigma^{|\beta|}(x) = \sigma^{|\gamma|}(y)$ implies that $x = \beta x'$ and $y = \gamma x'$. Thus $(x, |\beta| - |\gamma|, y) = (\beta x', |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma x') \in G_E$.

First, suppose that y is not isolated. Let $V = Z(\gamma)$ which is an open neighbourhood of y contained in $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(n)$. Let $v \in V$. By Example 3.5, $\alpha_n(v) = \beta\sigma^{|\gamma|}(v) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(v)$. Thus $\Phi(x, |\beta| - |\gamma|, y) = \Phi(\beta x', |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma x') = [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma))}, y)] = [(n, y)]$.

Second, suppose that y is isolated and not periodic. Then $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}, y) \sim (n, y)$ because $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)}}(y) = x = \alpha_n(y)$ and $p_y n^* \mathbf{1}_{Z(\beta,\gamma)} p_y$ is homogeneous of degree 0 by Lemma 3.8(3). Thus $\Phi(x, |\beta| - |\gamma|, y) = (\beta x', |\beta| - |\gamma|, \gamma y') = [(n, y)]$.

Third, suppose that y is isolated and is periodic. Then $y = \nu\eta\eta\dots$ where $\nu \in P(E)$ and $\eta \in P(E)$ is a cycle without entry. Then $x = \alpha_n(y) = \mu\eta\eta\dots$ for some $\mu \in P(E)$. By Lemma 3.8(3), $p_y(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})^* n p_y$ is homogeneous of degree a multiple of $|\eta|$, say $(s-t)|\eta|$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $((\mu\eta^s)\mu\dots, |\mu| + s|\eta| - (|\nu| + t|\eta|), (\nu\eta^t)\eta\dots) \in G_E$ and

$$\Phi(((\mu\eta^s)\mu\dots, |\mu| + s|\eta| - (|\nu| + t|\eta|), (\nu\eta^t)\eta\dots)) = [(\mu\eta^s(\nu\eta^t)^*, y)].$$

We claim that $(\mu\eta^s(\nu\eta^t)^*, y) \sim (n, y)$. We have $\alpha_{\mu\eta^s(\nu\eta^t)^*}(y) = \mu\eta^l\eta\cdots = x = \alpha_n(y)$ from above. Let $m = \mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu\eta^t,\mu\eta^s)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}$. Then $\alpha_m(y) = y$, and using Lemma 3.9(2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(p_y\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu\eta^t,\mu\eta^s)}np_y) &= \deg(p_y(p_y\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu\eta^t,\mu\eta^s)}\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}np_y)\\
&= \deg(p_y(p_ym)\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}np_y)\\
&= \deg(p_ymp_y\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}np_y)\\
&= |\nu| + t|\eta| - (|\mu| + s|\eta|) + |\mu| - |\nu| + \deg(p_y\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}np_y)\\
&= (t-s)|\eta| + \deg(p_y\mathbf{1}_{Z(\nu,\mu)}np_y) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi(((\mu\eta^s)\mu\ldots, |\mu| + s|\eta| - (|\nu| + t|\eta|), (\nu\eta^t)\eta\ldots)) = [(n, y)]$ when y is isolated and is periodic. We have now shown that Φ is surjective. Thus Φ is a groupoid isomorphism. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources and let R be an integral domain with identity. Then $\Phi = \Phi_E : G_E \to W_E$ defined by*

$$(\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x) \mapsto [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x)]$$

is a well-defined groupoid isomorphism. Equip W_E with the topology generated by the basis $\{Z(n) : n \in N(E)\}$ where $Z(n) := \{[(n, x)] : x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)\}$. Then W_E is a topological groupoid and is topologically isomorphic to G_E.

Proof. In view of Lemmas and 4.6 and 4.8, it remains to show that Φ is a homeomorphism. To see that Φ is open, we show that the basic open set $Z(\mu, \nu)$ of G_E is mapped to the basic open set $Z(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})$ of W_E under Φ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(Z(\mu,\nu)) &= \{[(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x)] : x \in s(\nu)E^\infty\}\\
&= \{[(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, y)] : y \in Z(\nu)\}\\
&= \{[(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, y)] : y \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})\}\\
&= Z(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus Φ is open.

To see that Φ is continuous, suppose that

$$g_i := (\mu_i x_i, |\mu_i| - |\nu_i|, \nu_i x_i) \to g := (\mu x, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu x)$$

in G_E. Then there exist $y_i \in E^\infty$ such that $\mu_i x_i = \mu y_i$ and $\nu_i x_i = \nu y_i$ eventually, and $\nu y_i \to \nu x$ in E^∞. Since $|\mu_i| - |\nu_i| = |\mu| - |\nu|$ eventually we must have $g_i = (\mu y_i, |\mu| - |\nu|, \nu y_i)$ eventually. Now let $Z(n)$ be a basic open neighbourhood of $\Phi(g) = [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x)]$. It suffices to show that $\Phi(g_i) \in Z(n)$ eventually. We have $(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x) \sim (n, \nu x)$. First suppose that νx is isolated. Then $\nu y_i = \nu x$ eventually, and

$$\Phi(g_i) = [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu y_i)] = [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu x)] \in Z(n).$$

Second, suppose that νx is not isolated. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)})$ of νx such that $\alpha_n(w) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}}(w)$ for all $w \in V$. But $\nu y_i \in V$ eventually, and hence

$$\Phi(g_i) = [(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu,\nu)}, \nu y_i)] = [(n, \nu y_i)] \in Z(n)$$

eventually. Thus Φ is continuous.

We have shown that Φ is a groupoid isomorphism and a homeomorphism. It follows that composition and inversion in W_E are continuous. Hence W_E is a topological groupoid and is isomorphic, as a topological groupoid, to G_E. □

5. A homeomorphism of infinite-path spaces from Stone duality

Throughout this section, E and F are row-finite directed graphs with no sources and R is an integral domain with identity. Here we will show that a ring $*$-isomorphism $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ which maps the diagonal $D(E)$ onto $D(F)$ induces a homeomorphism $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ of infinite path spaces such that

$$\pi(\mathbf{1}_L) \circ \kappa = \mathbf{1}_L \tag{5.1}$$

for compact open subsets L of E^∞. If $\pi|_{D(E)}$ is an R-algebra homomorphism, then (5.1) holds for all $d \in D(E)$. We think this result is known but we haven't found a reference for it, and so we give the details here.

We start by recalling how Stone duality (see, for example, [20, Theorem 4] and [7, Chapter IV]) gives a homeomorphism of the spaces of ultrafilters of the Boolean algebras $B(E)$ and $B(F)$ of idempotents in $D(E)$ and $D(F)$.

Let $B = B(\vee, \wedge, 0, \leq)$ be a Boolean algebra; we do not assume B has a unit. A *filter* U of B is a subset of B such that

(1) $x, y \in U$ implies $x \wedge y \in U$;
(2) $x \in U$ and $x \leq y$ implies $y \in U$.

An *ultrafilter* is a filter U which is maximal with respect to $0 \notin U$. Let

$$B^* := \{U \subset B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter}\}.$$

For $b \in B$, let $N_b := \{U \in B^* : b \in U\}$. Then $\{N_b : b \in B\}$ is a cover of B^*, and hence is a subbasis for a topology; we equip B^* with this topology.

Now let $\pi : B \to C$ be a homomorphism of Boolean algebras. If $U \in C^*$, then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is in B^*, and this gives a function

$$\tilde{\pi} : C^* \to B^* \quad \tilde{\pi}(U) = \pi^{-1}(U). \tag{5.2}$$

Since $\pi^{-1}(N_b) = \cup_{c \in \pi^{-1}(\{b\})} N_c$ it follows that $\tilde{\pi}$ is continuous. In particular, if π is an isomorphism, then $\tilde{\pi}$ is a homeomorphism.

Now let E be a row-finite directed graph with no sources, let R be an integral domain with identity and let

$$B(E) = \{p \in D(E) : p = p^2\} \subset L_R(E).$$

Then $B(E)$ is a Boolean algebra with $p \vee q = p + q - pq$, $p \wedge q = pq$ and $p \leq q$ if and only if $pq = p$. Since R is an integral domain, every element of $B(E)$ is the characteristic function of a compact open subset L of E^∞, and then $\mathbf{1}_L \vee \mathbf{1}_M = \mathbf{1}_{L \cup M}$, $\mathbf{1}_L \wedge \mathbf{1}_M = \mathbf{1}_{L \cap M}$, and $\mathbf{1}_L \leq \mathbf{1}_M$ if and only if $L \subseteq M$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $B(E)$ be the Boolean algebra of idempotents of $D(E)$ and $B(E)^*$ the topological space of ultrafilters of $B(E)$. Define $\rho = \rho_E : E^\infty \to B(E)^*$ by*

$$\rho(x) = \{\mathbf{1}_L \in D(E) : L \text{ is a compact open neighbourhood of } x\}.$$

Then ρ is a homeomorphism with $\rho^{-1}(U)$ the unique element of

$$\bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} L. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Let $x \in E^\infty$. It is straightforward to check that $\rho(x)$ is a filter. To see that it is an ultrafilter, suppose U is a filter such that $0 \notin U$ and $\rho(x) \subset U$. It suffices to show $U \subset \rho(x)$. Let $\mathbf{1}_L$ be in U. Since $\rho(x) \subset U$, $\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,m))} \in U$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $0 \notin U$ implies $L \cap Z(x(0,m)) \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $x^m \in L \cap Z(x(0,m))$. Since $Z(x(0,m))$ is a neighbourhood base of x, $x^m \to x$. Thus $x \in L$ because L is closed. Therefore $1_L \in \rho(x)$ as needed.

To see that ρ is injective, suppose $x, y \in E^\infty$ with $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbf{1}_{Z(x(0,i))} \in \rho(x) = \rho(y)$ implies there exists j such that $Z(y(0,j)) \subset Z(x(0,i))$. Thus $x(0,i)$ is an initial segment of y. Since i was fixed, $x = y$. Thus ρ is injective.

To see that ρ is surjective, let $U \in B(E)^*$. We claim that (5.3) is a singleton $\{x\}$, and that $\rho(x) = U$. Let $\mathbf{1}_M \in U$. Then

$$\bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} L = M \cap \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} L = \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} (M \cap L).$$

Since U is an ultrafilter, $\{M \cap L : \mathbf{1}_L \in U\}$ has the finite intersection property in the compact space M, and hence the intersection (5.3) is non-empty. Let $x \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} L$. Then $x \in L$ for all L with $\mathbf{1}_L \in U$. By the definition of $\rho(x)$, $U \subset \rho(x)$, but since U is an ultrafilter, $U = \rho(x)$. Notice that injectivity of ρ now shows that the intersection (5.3) is $\{x\}$. We have shown ρ is surjective with inverse $\rho^{-1}(U)$ the unique element of (5.3).

To see that ρ is continuous, it suffices to show that inverse images of the subbasic neighbourhoods are open. So fix $\mathbf{1}_L \in B(E)$. Then $N_{\mathbf{1}_L} = \{U \in B(E)^* : \mathbf{1}_L \in U\}$ is a typical subbasic neighbourhood. We will show that $\rho^{-1}(N_{\mathbf{1}_L}) = L$. First, let $x \in \rho^{-1}(N_{\mathbf{1}_L})$. Then there exists $U \in N_{\mathbf{1}_L}$ such that $x = \rho^{-1}(U) = \cap_{\mathbf{1}_M \in U} M \subset L$. Thus $x \in L$ and $\rho^{-1}(N_{\mathbf{1}_L}) \subset L$. Second, let $x \in L$. Then $\mathbf{1}_L \in \rho(x)$, and hence $\rho(x) \in N_{\mathbf{1}_L}$, that is, $x \in \rho^{-1}(N_{\mathbf{1}_L})$. Thus $\rho^{-1}(N_{\mathbf{1}_L}) = L$, and since L is open it follows that ρ is continuous.

To see that ρ is open it suffices to show that images of basic neighbourhoods $Z(\mu)$ in E^∞ are open. We will show that that $\rho(Z(\mu)) = N_{1_{Z(\mu)}}$. First let $U \in \rho(Z(\mu))$, that is $U = \rho(x)$ for some $x \in Z(\mu)$. Then $Z(x(0,|\mu|)) = Z(\mu)$ gives $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu)} \in U$. Thus $U \in N_{\mathbf{1}_{Z(\mu)}}$, and hence $\rho(Z(\mu)) \subset N_{1_{Z(\mu)}}$. Second, let $U \in N_{1_{Z(\mu)}}$. Then $1_{Z(\mu)} \in U$. Now $\rho^{-1}(U) = \cap_{\mathbf{1}_L \in U} L \subset Z(\mu)$, that is, $U \in \rho(Z(\mu))$. Thus $\rho(Z(\mu)) = N_{1_{Z(\mu)}}$ and is open, and hence ρ is open. □

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ is a ring isomorphism such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Then there is a homeomorphism $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ such that for every compact open subset L of E^∞ we have*

$$x \in L \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \kappa(x) \in \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L)). \tag{5.4}$$

Further, $\operatorname{supp}(d) = \operatorname{supp}(\pi(d) \circ \kappa)$ *for all $d \in D(E)$.*

Proof. The ring isomorphism π restricts to give an isomorphism of the Boolean algebra $B(E) = \{p \in D(E) : p = p^2\}$ onto $B(F)$, and induces a homeomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : B(F) \to B(E)$ of the corresponding spaces of ultrafilters as given at (5.2). Let $\rho_E : E^\infty \to B(E)$ be the homeomorphism of Proposition 5.1. Then

$$\kappa := \rho_F^{-1} \circ \tilde{\pi}^{-1} \circ \rho_E$$

is a homeomorphism such that $\kappa(x)$ is the unique element of

$$\bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_M \in \pi(\rho_E(x))} M.$$

To see κ satisfies (5.4), let L be a compact open subset of E^∞. First, let $x \in L$. Since L is open, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Z(x(0,i)) \subset L$. Thus $\mathbf{1}_L \in \rho_E(x)$, and $\pi(\mathbf{1}_L) \in \pi(\rho_E(x))$. Now

$$\{\kappa(x)\} = \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_M \in \pi(\rho_E(x))} M \subset \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L)).$$

Thus $x \in L$ implies $\kappa(x) \in \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L))$. Second, let $y \in \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L))$. Say $y = \kappa(x)$. For all M such that $\mathbf{1}_M \in \pi(\rho_E(x))$ we have $\kappa(x) \in M$, and hence $\kappa(x) \in M \cap \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L))$. In other words, for all $\mathbf{1}_N \in \rho_E(x)$ we have $\kappa(x) \in \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_N)) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L))$. Now

$$0 \neq \mathbf{1}_{\operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_N))\cap\operatorname{supp}(\pi(\mathbf{1}_L))} = \pi(\mathbf{1}_L) * \pi(\mathbf{1}_N) = \pi(\mathbf{1}_L * \mathbf{1}_M) = \pi(\mathbf{1}_{L\cap N}).$$

Thus $L \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all such N. Because $\rho_E(x)$ is an ultrafilter, the collection $\{L \cap N : \mathbf{1}_N \in \rho_E(x)\}$ has the finite intersection property in the compact space L. Thus

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_N \in \rho_E(x)} (L \cap N) = L \cap \bigcap_{\mathbf{1}_N \in \rho_E(x)} N = L \cap \{x\}.$$

Thus $x \in L$ as required. Thus κ satisfies (5.4).

For the final assertion, let $d = \sum_{\alpha\in F} r_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)} \in D(E)$ in normal form. Using only that π is a ring homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(d) \circ \kappa &= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \pi(r_\alpha 1_{Z(\alpha)}) \circ \kappa = \sum_{\alpha\in F} \pi(r_\alpha 1^2_{Z(\alpha)}) \circ \kappa \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \big(\pi(r_\alpha 1_{Z(\alpha)})\pi(1_{Z(\alpha)})\big) \circ \kappa \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \big(\pi(r_\alpha 1_{Z(\alpha)}) \circ \kappa\big)\big(\pi(1_{Z(\alpha)}) \circ \kappa\big),
\end{aligned}$$

which, using (5.4), is just

$$= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \big(\pi(r_\alpha 1_{Z(\alpha)}) \circ \kappa\big) 1_{Z(\alpha)}.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{supp}(\pi(d) \circ \kappa) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(d)$.

By symmetry, $\operatorname{supp}(\pi^{-1}(d') \circ \kappa^{-1}) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(d')$ for all $d' \in D(F)$. That is,

$$d'(y) = 0 \implies \pi^{-1}(d')(\kappa^{-1}(y)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } d' \in D(F) \text{ and } y \in F^\infty.$$

Apply this with $d' = \pi(d)$ and $y = \kappa(x)$ to get

$$\pi(d)(\kappa(x)) = 0 \implies \pi^{-1}(\pi(d))(\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(x))) = 0 \implies d(x) = 0.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{supp}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi(d) \circ \kappa)$. Thus $\operatorname{supp}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi(d) \circ \kappa)$ for all $d \in D(E)$. □

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ is a ring $*$-isomorphism such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Let $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ be the homeomorphism of Proposition 5.2. Then $d = \pi(d) \circ \kappa$ for all $d \in D(E)$ if and only if $\pi|_{D(E)}$ is an R-algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. First suppose that $d = \pi(d) \circ \kappa$ for all $d \in D(E)$. Let $d, d' \in D(E)$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$\pi(d + rd')(y) = (d + rd')(\kappa^{-1}(y)) = d(\kappa^{-1}(y)) + rd'(\kappa^{-1}(y)) = (\pi(d) + r\pi(d'))(y)$$

and hence $\pi|_{D(E)}$ is an R-algebra homomorphism.

Conversely, suppose that $\pi|_{D(E)}$ is an R-algebra homomorphism. Let $d \in D$ and write $d = \sum_{\alpha\in F} r_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)}$ in normal form. By (5.4) in Proposition 5.2 we have $\mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)} = \pi(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)})\circ\kappa$. Thus

$$\pi(d)\circ\kappa = \big(\sum_{\alpha\in F} r_\alpha \pi(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)})\big)\circ\kappa = \sum_{\alpha\in F} r_\alpha \pi(\mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)})\circ\kappa = \sum_{\alpha\in F} r_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{Z(\alpha)} = d. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ is a ring $*$-isomorphism such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Let $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ be the homeomorphism of Proposition 5.2.*

(1) *If $x \in E^\infty$ is isolated, then $\pi(p_x) = p_{\kappa(x)}$.*
(2) *Let $n \in N(E)$. Then*
   (a) *$x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n) \iff \kappa(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n))$; and*
   (b) *$\kappa(\alpha_n(x)) = \alpha_{\pi(n)}(\kappa(x))$ for $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in E^\infty$ be an isolated path. Since κ is a homeomorphism, $\kappa(x)$ is an isolated path in F^∞. Recall that $p_x = \mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}$. Applying (5.4) to the compact open set $\{x\}$ gives (1).

For $n \in N(E)$ we have $n^*n \in D(E)$. Using Proposition 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n) = \mathrm{supp}(n^*n) &\iff 0 \neq n^*n(x) \\ &\iff 0 \neq \pi(n^*n)(\kappa(x)) \\ &\iff \kappa(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n)) = \mathrm{supp}(\pi(n)^*\pi(n)), \end{aligned}$$

giving (2a).

For (2b), suppose, aiming for a contradiction, that there exists $z \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ such that $\kappa(\alpha_n(z)) \neq \alpha_{\pi(n)}(\kappa(z))$. Then there exists a compact open set $M \subset F^\infty$ such that $\alpha_{\pi(n)}(\kappa(z)) \in M$ but $\kappa(\alpha_n(z)) \notin M$. By (2a), $\kappa(z) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n))$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \mathbf{1}_M(\alpha_{\pi(n)}(\kappa(z)))\pi(n)^*\pi(n)(\kappa(z)) &= \big(\mathbf{1}_M \circ \alpha_{\pi(n)}\pi(n)^*\pi(n)\big)(\kappa(z)) \\ &= (\pi(n)^*\mathbf{1}_M\pi(n))(\kappa(z)) \quad \text{(using (3.3))} \\ &= \pi\big(n^*\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_M)n\big)(\kappa(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.2 we have $0 \neq n^*\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_M)n(z)$, and using (3.3) again we see

$$0 \neq n^*\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_M)n(z) = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_M)(\alpha_n(z))n^*n(z).$$

Since R is an integral domain we must have $\alpha_n(z) \in \mathrm{supp}(\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_M))$, a contradiction. Thus $\kappa(\alpha_n(x)) = \alpha_{\pi(n)}(\kappa(x))$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$, giving (2b). $\square$

6. The main theorem

Throughout this section, E and F are row-finite directed graphs with no sources and R is an integral domain with identity. In this section we show that a diagonal-preserving ring $*$-isomorphism of $L_R(E)$ onto $L_R(F)$ induces an isomorphism of the groupoid G_E onto G_F. It then follows, for example, that the C^*-algebras $C^*(E)$ and $C^*(F)$ are isomorphic.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ is a ring $*$-isomorphism such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Let $\kappa : E^\infty \to F^\infty$ be the homeomorphism of Proposition 5.2. Then $\Psi = \Psi_\pi : W_E \to W_F$ defined by $\Psi([(n,x)]) = [(\pi(n), \kappa(x))]$ is an isomorphism of the Weyl groupoids.*

Proof. Since π is $*$- and diagonal-preserving by assumption, π maps the normalizers of $D(E)$ to the normalizers of $D(F)$. If $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)$ then $\kappa(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n))$ by Lemma 5.4(2a), and the formula for Ψ makes sense.

To see Ψ is well-defined, suppose that $(n_1, x) \sim (n_2, x)$. We need to show that $(\pi(n_1), \kappa(x)) \sim (\pi(n_2), \kappa(x))$. Since κ is a homeomorphism, x is isolated in E^∞ if and only if $\kappa(x)$ is isolated in F^∞.

First, suppose that x is not isolated. Since $(n_1, x) \sim (n_2, x)$, there exists a neighbourhood $V \subset \mathrm{Dom}(n_1) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(n_2)$ of x such that $\alpha_{n_1}(z) = \alpha_{n_2}(z)$ for all $z \in V$. Then $\kappa(V)$ is an open neighbourhood of $\kappa(x)$, and is contained in $\mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n_1)) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\pi(n_2))$ by Lemma 5.4(2a). Let $y \in \kappa(V)$. Then $y = \kappa(z)$ for some $z \in V$ and using Lemma 5.4(2b) we have

$$\alpha_{\pi(n_1)}(y) = \alpha_{\pi(n_1)}(\kappa(z)) = \kappa(\alpha_{n_1}(z)) = \kappa(\alpha_{n_2}(z)) = \alpha_{\pi(n_2)}(\kappa(z)) = \alpha_{\pi(n_2)}(y).$$

Thus $(\pi(n_1), \kappa(x)) \sim (\pi(n_2), \kappa(x))$ if x is not isolated.

Second, suppose that x is isolated. Then $\alpha_{n_1}(x) = \alpha_{n_2}(x)$, and it follows again from Lemma 5.4(2b) that $\alpha_{\pi(n_1)}(\kappa(x)) = \alpha_{\pi(n_2)}(\kappa(x))$. Also, $p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x$ is 0-graded. Apply Lemma 3.10 with $a = p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x$ to get

$$p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x = p_x a p_x = r p_x \in D(E).$$

Now

$$\pi(p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x) = \pi(r p_x) \in D(F)$$

because π is diagonal preserving. Thus $\pi(p_x n_1^* n_2 p_x) = p_{\kappa(x)} \pi(n_1)^* \pi(n_2) p_{\kappa(x)}$ is 0-graded. Therefore $(\pi(n_1), \kappa(x)) \sim (\pi(n_2), \kappa(x))$ when x is isolated. This proves that Ψ is well-defined.

The map $[(m, z)] \mapsto [(\pi^{-1}(m), \kappa^{-1}(z))]$ is clearly an inverse for Ψ, so Ψ is a bijection. (That Ψ^{-1} is well-defined follows from the same calculation used to show that Ψ is well-defined.) The topology on W_E has basic open sets $Z(n) := \{[(n, x)] : x \in \mathrm{Dom}(n)\}$. Here $\Psi(Z(n)) = Z(\pi(n))$ and $\Psi^{-1}(Z(m)) = Z(\pi^{-1}(m))$. Thus Ψ is both continuous and open, and hence is a homeomorphism. Finally, we check that Ψ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi([(n_1, \alpha_{n_2}(x))][(n_2, x)]) &= \Psi([(n_1 n_2, x)]) \\
&= [(\pi(n_1 n_2), \kappa(x))] \\
&= [(\pi(n_1), \alpha_{\pi(n_2)}(\kappa(x)))][(\pi(n_2), \kappa(x))] \\
&= [(\pi(n_1), \kappa(\alpha_{n_2}(x)))][(n_2, \kappa(x))] \\
&= \Psi([(n_1, \alpha_{n_2}(x))])\Psi([(n_2, x)]).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.2. *Let E and F be row-finite directed graphs with no sources, and let R be an integral domain with identity. The following are equivalent.*

1. *There is a ring $*$-isomorphism $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$.*
2. *The topological groupoids G_E and G_F are isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume (1). Let $\Phi_E : G_E \to W_E$ be the isomorphism of topological groupoids from Theorem 4.9 and let $\Psi_\pi : W_E \to W_F$ be the isomorphism of topological groupoids from Proposition 6.1. Then $\Phi_F^{-1} \circ \Psi_\pi \circ \Phi_E : G_E \to G_F$ is an isomorphism of topological groupoids.

Assume (2). Say $\Omega : G_E \to G_F$ is a topological isomorphism. Let $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ be $\pi(f) = f \circ \Omega^{-1}$; then π is an algebra $*$-isomorphism, and hence is in particular a ring

$*$-isomorphism. Since Ω maps the unit space E^∞ of G_E to F^∞, it follows that π preserves the diagonal. □

Corollary 6.3. *Let E and F be row-finite directed graphs with no sources, and let R be an integral domain and let S be a commutative ring, both with identities. Suppose that there is a ring $*$-isomorphism $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Then there exists an algebra $*$-isomorphism of $L_S(E)$ onto $L_S(F)$. Further, there is a C^*-algebra isomorphism of $C^*(E)$ onto $C^*(F)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, the groupoids G_E and G_F are topologically isomorphic. Hence their Leavitt path algebras over any commutative ring S with identity are isomorphic as $*$-algebras, and similarly the C^*-algebras. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let E and F be row-finite directed graphs with no sources, and let R be an integral domain with identity. Suppose that $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ is a ring $*$-isomorphism such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$. Then $d = \pi(d) \circ \kappa$ for all $d \in D(E)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.3 there exists an R-algebra $*$-isomorphism of $L_R(E)$ onto $L_R(F)$. Its restriction to the diagonal is an R-algebra homomorphism. Thus $d = \pi(d) \circ \kappa$ for all $d \in D(E)$ by Lemma 5.3. □

As mentioned in the introduction, taking $R = \mathbb{C}$ in Corollary 6.3 gives some evidence towards the "isomorphism conjecture for graph algebras" from [1, page 3752].

Corollary 6.5. *Let E and F be row-finite directed graphs with no sources and let R be an integral domain. The following are equivalent.*

1. *There is a ring $*$-isomorphism $\pi : L_R(E) \to L_R(F)$ such that $\pi(D(E)) = D(F)$.*
2. *The topological groupoids G_E and G_F are isomorphic.*
3. *There is a $*$-algebra isomorphism of $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(E)$ onto $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(F)$.*
4. *There is a C^*-algebra isomorphism of $C^*(E)$ onto $C^*(F)$ which preserves the C^*-diagonals.*

Proof. By [8, Theorem 1], (2)–(4) are equivalent, and (1) and (2) are equivalent by Theorem 6.2. □

References

[1] G. Abrams and M. Tomforde, *Isomorphism and Morita equivalence of graph algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 3733–3767.

[2] P. Ara, J. Bosa, R. Hazrat and A. Sims, *Reconstruction of graded groupoids from graded Steinberg algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1601.02872, January 2016.

[3] G. Aranda Pino, J. Clark, A. an Huef and I. Raeburn, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of higher-rank graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 3613–3641.

[4] J.H. Brown, L.O. Clark and A. an Huef, *Diagonal-preserving ring $*$-isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1510.05309, October 2015.

[5] J.H. Brown, G. Nagy, S. Reznikoff, A. Sims and D.P. Williams, *Cartan subalgebras in C^*-algebras of Hausdorff étale groupoids*, preprint, arXiv:1503.03521, June 2015.

[6] N. Brownlowe, T. Carlsen, and M. Whittaker, *Graph algebras and orbit equivalence*, to appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.

[7] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, A Course in Universal Algebra, The Millenium Edition, 2012 update, available from https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~snburris/htdocs/ualg.html.

[8] T. Carlsen, *$*$-isomorphism of Leavitt path algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$*, preprint, arXiv:1601.0077, January 2016.

[9] T. Carlsen, E. Ruiz and A. Sims, *Equivalence and stable isomorphism of groupoids, and diagonal-preserving stable isomorphisms of graph C*-algebras and Leavitt path algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1602.02602, February 2016.
[10] L.O. Clark, C. Farthing, A. Sims and M. Tomforde, *A groupoid generalization of Leavitt path algebras*, Semigroup Forum, **89** (2014), 501–517.
[11] L.O. Clark and A. Sims, *Equivalent groupoids have Morita equivalent Steinberg algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 2062–2075.
[12] R. Johansen and A.P.W. Sørensen, *The Cuntz splice does not preserve $*$-isomorphism of Leavitt path algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$*, preprint, arXiv:1507.01247, July 2015.
[13] A. Kumjian, *On C^*-diagonals*, Can. J. Math., **38** (1986), 969–1008.
[14] A. Kumjian, D. Pask and I. Raeburn, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs*, Pacific J. Math. **184** (1998), 161–174.
[15] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 505–541.
[16] G. Nagy and S. Reznikoff, *Abelian core of graph algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 889–908.
[17] I. Raeburn, Graph Algebras, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, 2005.
[18] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C^*-algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. **61** (2008), 29–63.
[19] B. Steinberg, *A groupoid approach to inverse semigroup algebras*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), 689–727.
[20] M.H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1937), 375–481.
[21] M. Tomforde, *Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a commutative ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 471–484.

(J.H. Brown) Department of Mathematics, University of Dayton, 300 College Park Dayton, OH 45469-2316 USA
E-mail address: jonathan.henry.brown@gmail.com

(L.O. Clark and A. an Huef) Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Otago, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand
E-mail address: lclark@maths.otago.ac.nz
E-mail address: astrid@maths.otago.ac.nz